Yee & Associates, P.C.

4100 Alpha Road Suite 1100 Dallas, Texas 75244 Main No. (972) 385-8777 Facsimile (972) 385-7766

JAN 1 8 2005

# **Facsimile Cover Sheet**

To: Commissioner for Patents for Examiner Srilakshmi K. Kumar Group Art Unit 2675	Facsimile No.: 703/872-9306
From: Carrie Parker  Legal Assistant to Cathrine Kinslow	No. of Pages Including Cover Sheet: 8
Message:  Enclosed herewith:  Transmittal Document; and Reply Brief.	
Re: Application No. 10/044,728 Attorney Docket No: RSW920010154US Date: Tuesday, January 18, 2005	1
Please contact us at (972) 385-8777 if you do not receive all pages indicated above or experience any difficulty in	This Facsimile is intended only for the use of the addressee and, if the addressee is a client or their agent, contains privileged and confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of this facsimile, you have received this facsimile inadvertently and in error. Any review,

PLEASE CONFIRM RECEIPT OF THIS TRANSMISSION BY FAXING A CONFIRMATION TO 972-385-7766.

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

§

In re application of: Haynes

Serial No.: 10/044,728

Filed: January 11, 2002

For: Pointing Device Attribute Variance Based on Distance/Time Ratio

36736
PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

Group Art Unit: 2675

Examiner: Srilakshmi K. Kumar

Attorney Docket No.: RSW920010154US1

Certificate of Transmission Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)

I hereby certify this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, facsimile number (703) 872-9306 on January 18, 2005.

Carrie Parker

### TRANSMITTAL DOCUMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

JAN 1 8 2UUD

Sir:

ENCLOSED HEREWITH:

Reply Brief (37 C.F.R. 41.41).

No fees are believed to be required. If, however, any fees are required, I authorize the Commissioner to charge these fees which may be required to IBM Corporation Deposit Account No. 09-0461. No extension of time is believed to be necessary. If, however, an extension of time is required, the extension is requested, and I authorize the Commissioner to charge any fees for this extension to IBM Corporation Deposit Account No. 09-0461.

Respectfully submitted,

Cathrine K. Kinslow Registration No. 51,886

Duke W. Yee

Registration No. 34,285

YEE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

P.O. Box 802333

Dallas, Texas 75380

(972) 385-8777

ATTORNEYS FOR APPLICANT

## Docket No. RSW920010154US1

**PATENT** 

# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Haynes	§	
•	§ Group Art Unit: 2675	
Serial No. 10/044,728	§	
•	§ Examiner: Srilakshmi K. Kumar	
Filed: January 11, 2002	§	
•	§	
For: Pointing Device Attribute	§	JAN 1 8 2005
Variance Based on Distance/Time	§	
Ratio		

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Certificate of Transmission Under 37 C.F.R. 5 1.8(a)
I hereby certify this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, facsimile number (703) 872-9306 on January 18, 2005.

Bv

Carrie Parker

## **REPLY BRIEF (37 C.F.R. 41.41)**

This brief is in reply to the Examiner's Answer, dated November 15, 2004.

The fees required under § 1.17(c), and any required petition for extension of time for filing this brief and fees therefore, are dealt with in the accompanying TRANSMITTAL OF REPLY BRIEF.

G JAN 1 8 2005

#### **ARGUMENT**

In the Examiner's Answer, the examiner has provided appellants a translated copy of the *Shinichiro* reference, from which arguments in the Examiner's Answer are based. Appellants have addressed to the cited sections of the newly provided translation in this Reply Brief.

With respect to claims 1, 3, 5-11, and 13-18, the Examiner's Answer states:

In response to applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 6-11, and 15-18, applicant argue the prior art Shinichiro does not anticipate the present invention as recited in claim 1, because Shinichiro fails to teach each and every element of the claims, specifically where in a presentation to the pointer is altered if the rate of movement exceeds the given threshold of speed, wherein other thresholds are present in addition to the given threshold of speed and wherein the pointer is changed each time one of the other thresholds is exceeded.

With respect to the prior art Shinichiro, as shown by the translation, in paragraphs 0015-0019, predetermined signal actuation is performed when a user manipulates the pointing device. This predetermined signal actuation enhances the cursor displayed in order make locating the cursor easier. Cursor changes are accomplished by detecting the variation rate of the speed of the pointing device. The cursor display control detects speed thresholds of the cursor. When the cursor speed exceeds predetermined rate thresholds, the display emphasis processing means changes the display of the cursor, such as enlarging, color, and brightness. Shinichiro in paragraphs 0024-0026, where there are multiple threshold rates of speed to determine changes in the cursor display. These features of Shinichiro is the same as automatically updating a presentation of the pointer using a series of different changes in presentation based on the rate of movement or rates of movement as disclosed by the applicant.

Examiner's Answer dated November 15, 2004, page 6.

Appellants agree that *Shinichiro* teaches providing a cursor display controller to allow a user to easily and quickly view a cursor position and not to lose sight of the cursor when the mouse is moved. However, *Shinichiro* does not teach the feature of wherein the presentation of the pointer is a series of different changes in presentation based on the rate of movement for the pointing device as recited in claims 1 and 12-18.

The examiner interprets paragraphs 0015-0019 of Shinichiro as teaching that a "predetermined signal actuation is performed when a user manipulates a pointing device" (Examiner's Answer, page 6), as shown below:

[0015] If according to this equipment predetermined signal actuation is performed when those who operate the pointing device connected to the calculating machine etc., i.e., a user, miss cursor, this will be detected by the signal actuation detection means. Then, it makes cursor easy for a display emphasis processing means to make the display of the cursor on the screen of a display emphasize, and to check by looking to a user.

[0016] the cursor display control concerning this invention – continuous – a variation rate – the variation rate concerned from said pointing device which outputs an amount — it has a cursor advance rate decision means to ask for the passing speed and said cursor based on an amount, and said signal detection means is characterized by detecting said signal actuation which used change of said passing speed at least.

[0017] According to this invention, the passing speed of cursor is calculated from the amount of displacement continuously outputted every moment from pointing devices, such as a mouse and a trackball, and the combination of actuation of the pointing device by the user who brings about change of this passing speed, or this and actuation of other classes is used as signal actuation detected by the signal means.

[0018] It detects that the suitable mode of this invention exceeded the rate threshold predetermined [ means / said / signal detection ] in said passing speed as said signal actuation. In this invention, when the average momentary passing speed or passing speed exceeds a rate threshold, the display of cursor is emphasized.

[0019] Said display emphasis processing means expands the display size of said cursor, and the suitable mode of this invention performs said emphasis. Said display emphasis processing means displays said cursor with the complementary color of the background color of the cursor concerned, and the suitable mode of this invention performs said emphasis. Said display emphasis processing means expands the brightness difference around said cursor and cursor concerned, and another suitable mode of this invention performs said emphasis.

The paragraphs above teach a signal actuation detection means that detects a change in the passing speed of the cursor. If a change in speed is detected by the signal actuation means, a determination is made whether the detected change in speed passes a certain threshold. If the passing speed exceeds the threshold, the cursor display is emphasized, such as expanding the size of the cursor to allow the user to locate the cursor in motion.

Although appellants do not disagree that Shinichiro teaches changing the display of a cursor if the speed of the cursor passes a threshold to allow a user to easily and quickly view a cursor position and not to lose sight of the cursor when the mouse is moved, Shinichiro still does not teach presenting the pointer in a series of different changes in presentation based on the rate

of movement for the pointing device. The examiner interprets the following paragraphs of Shinichiro as teaching this feature:

[0024] Drawing 2 is the processing flow Fig. showing the outline of cursor display-control processing of this operation gestalt. The amount of displacement from the mouse interface 6 is inputted into CPU2, which is a cursor display control, and it calculates the location of cursor, and passing speed based on the amount of displacement (\$200). The location of the migration place of cursor is determined by adding the amount of displacement of the direction of X, and the amount of displacement of the direction of Y to the X coordinate and Y coordinate showing a current cursor display position as usual, respectively. On the other hand, it can be considered that the amount of displacement of the mouse 8 in the round term itself is the passing speed of cursor, without doing a division with a time interval anew, since the amount of displacement is proportional to passing speed if the output of the amount of displacement from the mouse interface 6 is performed with a fixed time interval about passing speed. Moreover, when the output period of the amount of displacement is not fixed, let the value, which did the division of the amount of displacement from the mouse interface 6 with the corresponding time internal be passing speed. Thus, CPU2 functions as a cursor advance rate decision means.

[0025] Cursor display-control processing chooses next the cursor pattern according to the location of the migration place of the cursor for which is asked by processing S200, and the processing state of a computer (S210). This is the same processing as usual.

[0026] If a cursor pattern is chosen, CPU2 will judge next whether predetermined signal actuation it is supposed that is carried out when a user misses cursor is performed. With this operation gestalt, it is set up as this signal actuation that the mouse 8 is moved quickly, and it judges whether CPU2 is beyond a rate threshold predetermined in a cursor advance rate as a signal actuation detection means (S220). For example, a rate threshold is set [ second ] up in about hundereds-1000 dots /

The paragraphs above disclose calculating the speed of the cursor based on the amount of displacement. A cursor display-control processing then selects a cursor pattern according to the location of the cursor migration. When a cursor pattern is chosen, the CPU2 then determines if the movement of the cursor is beyond the threshold to perform the signal actuation means to emphasize the display. However, as shown above, there is no mention in these cited paragraphs, nor any other section of *Shinichiro*, of presenting the pointer in a series of different changes based on the rate of movement for the pointing device. Neither does *Shinichiro* mention the desirability of including this feature at all. Rather, *Shinichiro* merely discloses detecting whether the speed of the cursor has passed a predetermined threshold, and if so, emphasizing the cursor

(Reply Brief Page 4 of 6) Haynes - 10/044,728 display to allow the user to track the cursor movement. Thus, even though *Shinichiro* teaches using a display change to emphasize the cursor, *Shinichiro* fails to teach or suggest altering the cursor display in a series of different changes, such that the display changes are performed in a series based on the rate of movement of the pointing device.

Since claims 3, 6, and 8-10 depend from claim 1, the same distinctions between Shinichiro and the claimed invention in claim 1 apply for these claims.

The Examiner's Answer further states:

In response to applicant's arguments with respect to claim 5, limitations of claim 5 state where the pointer returns to its previous appearance when the rate move movement for the pointing device decreases below the given threshold of speed. Shinichiro discloses in the translation, paragraphs 0027-0028, where after user input, the speed of the cursor is judged. If the speed rate is under a threshold, the signal actuation is not performed. When the signal actuation is not performed, the usual cursor pattern is chosen by the processor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill that if the usual cursor pattern is chosen by the processor, it would indicate that the system of Shinichiro returns the pointer to its previous appearance.

Examiner's Answer dated November 15, 2004, pages 6-7. The arguments made with respect to claims 1, 3, 6, 8-11, and 15-18 apply to the claim 5 as well. As mentioned previously, there is no teaching or suggestion of presenting the pointer in a series of different changes in presentation based on the rate of movement for the pointing device in *Shinichiro*. Nowhere does *Shinichiro* teach altering the cursor display in a series of different changes, such that the display changes are performed in a series based on the rate of movement of the pointing device.

Furthermore, the Examiner's Answer asserts, "it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill that if the usual cursor pattern is chosen by the processor, it would indicate that the system of Shinichiro returns the pointer to its previous appearance" (page 7). Although Shinichiro teaches that if a signal actuation is performed, the display emphasis processing is performed, and alternatively, if the signal actuation is not performed, a usual cursor pattern is displayed (paragraphs 0026-0027), appellants agree with the examiner that the Shinichiro reference still does not teach that the pointer returns to its previous appearance when the rate movement for the pointing device decreases below the given threshold of speed.

Furthermore, appellants assert that it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill that a pointer is returned to its previous appearance when the rate of movement for the pointing

(Rcply Brief Page 5 of 6) Haynes - 10/044,728 device decreases below the given threshold of speed. While Shinichiro teaches that if signal actuation is not performed the usual cursor display is presented to the user, this usual cursor display is not the same as returning the cursor to its previous appearance. As argued above in the rejection of claim 1, the present invention may provide a series of different changes in cursor presentation. Thus, with the present invention, a cursor returned to its previous appearance may not be the usual cursor display as mentioned in Shinichiro. In fact, as the return to a previous appearance in the present invention is based on the cursor falling below the given threshold of speed, the cursor display returned to may be a cursor display that is not the initial or usual cursor display presented to the user, but rather a different cursor display used in the series of different cursor presentations. Consequently, it would not have been obvious to one of ordinary skill that the pointer is returned to its previous appearance.

#### CONCLUSION

In view of the comments above, appellants respectfully submit that the rejections of claims 1, 3, 5-11, and 13-18 are overcome. Accordingly, it is respectfully urged that the rejection of claims 1, 3, 5-11, and 13-18 not be sustained.

Cathring K. Kinslow Reg. No. 51,886

YEE & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

PO Box 802333 Dallas, TX 75380 (972) 385-8777